

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,573	10/02/2000	Jerry Walter Malcolm	AUS000069US1	5168	
7	590 04/02/2004		EXAM	INER	
BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			PATEL, JAGDISH		
	AL PROPERTY LAW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. BOX 969 AUSTIN,, TX	78767-0969		3624		
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	09/676,573	MALCOLM, JERRY WALTER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JAGDISH PATEL	3624	My
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 O</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or example.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date	O-152)

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-33 are pending in the application. The claimed inventions are subject to election/restriction requirements as outlined below.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-4, 12-15 and 23-26 are drawn to a method, corresponding system and computer program product that embody the method for managing charging transactions having the features of enabling designation of allowed vendor(s) and corresponding amount limit chargeable by the specified vendor and determining whether a charging transaction for a specified amount by a charging vendor is within the designation.
- II. Claims 5-11, 16-22 and 27-33 are drawn to a method, corresponding system and computer program product that embody the method for limiting charging transactions having the features of determining whether an account holder for a charge account has specified vendor specific charge restrictions for charging against the charge account and determining a vendor identifier from the charge authorization request and comparing

Application/Control Number: 09/676,573 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

the vendor identifier to a list of vendor identifiers for the vendor specific charge restrictions.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as designation of allowed vendor(s) and corresponding amount limit chargeable by the specified vendor and determining whether a charging transaction for a specified amount by a charging vendor is within the designation. See MPEP \$ 806.05(d).

4. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as determining whether an account holder for a charge account has specified vendor specific charge restrictions for charging against the charge account and determining a vendor identifier from the charge authorization request and comparing the vendor identifier to a list of vendor identifiers for the vendor specific charge restrictions.

See MPEP § 806.05(d).

SPECIES:

Application/Control Number: 09/676,573

Art Unit: 3624

5. The applicant is required to elect among the following species in the event invention II is elected.

If invention II is elected, <u>one</u> of the following species of the generic invention indicated in the bracket must be elected.

Claims 5-7 and (8 or 9 or 10) and 11 (method); 16-18 and (19 or 20 or 21) and 22 (system); and 27-29 and (30 or 31 or 32) and 33 (computer program). Note that in each category (method, system and computer program), the claims must be elected such that the system claims and the computer program claims correspond to the respective method claims.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 18, 34 and 40 are generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,573

Art Unit: 3624

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. In the event applicant elects the aforementioned species,
 Applicant is further required to provide where support in
 the descriptive portion of the specification may be found
 to support such an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,573 Page 6

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jagdish Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1038. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (703) 305-7687. Draft faxes may be submitted directly to the examiner at (703) 746-5563.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 308-1114. Address for hand delivery is 2451 Crystal Drive, Crystal Park 5, 7th Floor, Alexandria VA 22202.

Jagdish N. Patel
(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)
(4/1/04)